



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,244	01/23/2004	Ken Gary Pomaranski	200312921-1	8052
22879	7590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPAN	IQBAL, NADEEM		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	LINS, CO 80527-2400	2114		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ा ५		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,244	POMARANSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nadeem Iqbal	2114			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fire, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 January 2004</u> .					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception and acception and acception are specified as a specific property of the specified and acception are specified as a	cepted or b)☐ objected to by th				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the property documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
	•					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	arv (PTO-413)			
2) Notice (3) Information	te of References Cited (P10-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mai				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14 & 15 recites the limitation "the two status inputs" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-15, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan et al., (U.S. Patent number 6304546) in view of Lindhorst-Ko (U.S. Patent number 6725401).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

- As per claim 1, Natarajan et al., (Natarajan) teaches (col. 2, lines 1-4) a method and 4. system for sending and receiving end-to-end bi-directional keep-alive messages using virtual circuits. He also teaches that nodes coupled to a network periodically exchange keep-alive messages which indicate information regarding configuration and status of the circuits. He thus teaches limitations pertain to a method of status generation for a node, sending a heartbeat signal from the node through a network to the cluster, determining a current status of the node. He does not explicitly disclose to send the current status out through a specialized interface to a next node. Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 12-14) a set of at least two communications paths are established across the network between a source node and a destination node. The source node also monitors a status of each of the set of communications paths. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include into the invention of Natarajan to include the set of two communication paths between the source node and a destination node thus providing a specialized interface to the invention of Natarajan. This is because Natarajan already teaches a local management interface between the first node and the first local router and a second local communication link, while Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus providing motivation for the stated inclusion.
- 5. As per claim 2, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 12-14) a set of at least two communications paths are established across the network between a source node and a destination node. The source node also monitors a status of each of the set of communications paths. He thus provides for inter-node status communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244 Page 4

Art Unit: 2114

6. As per claim 3, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus provides for sending the degraded level out.

- 7. As per claim 4, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 12-14) a set of at least two communications paths are established across the network between a source node and a destination node. The source node also monitors a status of each of the set of communications paths. He thus provides the for inter-node status communication.
- 8. As per claim 5, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 5 lines 38-40) a network comprising a plurality of nodes 4 interconnected by physical links to form four adjoining rings R1-R4 which may be bi-directional line switching Rings. He thus teaches coupling nodes of the cluster in a ring topology.
- As per claims 6 & 13, Natarajan substantially teaches the claimed invention as disclosed above related to claim 1. He also teaches (col. 2, lines 1-4) that nodes coupled to a network periodically exchange keep-alive messages which indicate information regarding configuration and status of the circuits. He thus teaches limitations pertain checking status received from the previous node, checking a heartbeat input received from the previous node. He does not explicitly disclose checking a degraded status input received from the previous node. Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 12-14) that the source node also monitors a status of each of the set of communications paths, and also teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus provides for sending the degraded level out. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include into the invention of Natarajan to include for compensating for network resource failure and provides for

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244

Art Unit: 2114

a graceful degradation of service as taught by Lindhorst-Ko. This is because Natarajan already teaches a local management interface between the first node and the first local router and a second local communication link, while Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus providing motivation for the stated inclusion.

- 10. As per claim 7, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 6, lines 39-43) a resource data base that provides information concerning an operational status of each path within the path array. This status information may be provided as a status field within the path array as show in fig. 1, or may be provided as a separate table. He thus teaches the degraded status input comprising multiple degradation levels.
- 11. As per claim 8, Natarajan teaches (col. 2, lines 47-49) that communication between the first node and the second node is conducted using a virtual circuit, including the first local communication link, the second local communication link. He thus provides for determination. He also teaches (col. 2, lines 3-5) to periodically exchanging data link messages which indicate information regarding configuration and status. He thus teaches capability to determine if a configuration file is changed and retrieve configuration file from a previous node.
- 12. As per claims 9 & 10, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 4, lines 47-49) that the search of a path array may be accomplished by means of conventional searching algorithms using a resource identifier of the failed resource as an array index. He thus performs logical analysis to differentiate failure of nodes.
- 13. As per claims 11 & 12, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 4, lines 50-53) to used the identifier of the failed resource to identify a resource field corresponding to the failed resource, and then the

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244 Page 6

Art Unit: 2114

path array searched to identify path records having resource indicator in the identified resource field. He thus teaches a logical analysis to differentiate between the failures of a previous node.

- 14. As per claims 14 & 15, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, He thus provides for reporting that a network carrying the heartbeat is down.
- As per claim 17, Natarajan substantially teaches the claimed invention as disclosed 15. related to claim 1 above He also teaches (col. 2, lines 1-4) that nodes coupled to a network periodically exchange keep-alive messages which indicate information regarding configuration and status of the circuits. He thus teaches limitations pertain to a general inter-node communication network, configured to carry signals including heartbeat signals from the nodes. He does not explicitly disclose a separate inter-node communication channel for communicating node status signals. Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 12-14) a set of at least two communications paths are established across the network between a source node and a destination node. The source node also monitors a status of each of the set of communications paths. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include into the invention of Natarajan to include the set of two communication paths between the source node and a destination node thus providing a separate inter-node communication channel to the invention of Natarajan. This is because Natarajan already teaches a local management interface between the first node and the first local router and a second local communication link, while Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus providing motivation for the stated inclusion.

Art Unit: 2114

16. As per claim 18, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 3, lines 11-12) compensating for network resource failure and provides for a graceful degradation of service, thus provides for sending the degraded level out.

- 17. As per claim 19, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 4, lines 50-53) to used the identifier of the failed resource to identify a resource field corresponding to the failed resource, and then the path array searched to identify path records having resource indicator in the identified resource field. He thus teaches a logical analysis to differentiate between the failures of a previous node.
- 18. As per claim 20, Lindhorst-Ko teaches (col. 6, lines 39-43) a resource data base that provides information concerning an operational status of each path within the path array. This status information may be provided as a status field within the path array as show in fig. 1, or may be provided as a separate table. He thus teaches the degraded status input comprising multiple degradation levels.

Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nadeem Iqbal whose telephone number is (571)-272-3659. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,244

Art Unit: 2114

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached on (571)-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nadeem Iqbal Primary Examiner Page 8

Art Unit 2114